arXiv:math/0404172v1 [math.AT] 7 Apr 2004

Hopf construction map in higher dimensions

Guillermo Moreno

Abstract: In this paper, we study the zero set of the Hopf construction map $F_n : \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_0$ given by $F_n(x,y) = (2xy, ||y||^2 - ||x||^2)$ for $n \geq 4$, where $\mathbb{A}_n$ is the Cayley-Dickson algebra of dimension 2^n on $\mathbb{R}$.

Introduction: Let $f_1 : S^3 \to S^2, f_2 : S^7 \to S^4$ and $f_3 : S^{15} \to S^8$ be the classical **Hopf maps**; these can be defined using **the Hopf construction**: Let $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{A}_3 = ø$ be the complex, quaternion and octonion numbers respectively and $F_n : \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$F_n(x,y) = (2xy, ||y||^2 - ||x||^2)$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Now write $S^{2^{n+1}-1} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n : ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 = 1\}$. By definition,

$$F_n | S^{2^{n+1}-1} = f_n$$

are the Hopf maps. Since $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a normed real algebra of dimension 2^n, for $n = 1, 2, 3$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ||(2xy, ||y||^2 - ||x||^2)||^2 &= 4||xy||^2 + (||y||^2 - ||x||^2)^2 \\ &= 4||x||^2||y||^2 + ||y||^4 + ||x||^4 - 2||x||^2||y||^2 \\ &= (||x||^2 + ||y||^2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

so if $||x||^2 + ||y||^2 = 1$, then $||F_n(x,y)|| = ||(2xy, ||y||^2 - ||x||^2)|| = 1$.

Now by the Cayley-Dickson doubling process ([D]) define

$$\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$$

Keywords and phrases: Cayley-Dickson algebras, alternative algebras, zero divisors, flexible algebra,normed algebra.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A99, 55Q25.

with

$$(a,b)(x,y) = (ax - \overline{y}b, ya + b\overline{x}) \text{ for } a, b, x \text{ and } y \text{ in } \mathbb{A}_n$$

and

$$\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_1, -x_2) \text{ if } x = (x_1, x_2) \text{ in } \mathbb{A}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{n-1}.$$

Thus, if $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ with $\overline{x} = x$ for x real number, then $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$, which are normed algebras i.e.; $||xy|| = ||x||||y||$ for all x, y in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

For $n \geq 4$, $\mathbb{A}_n$ is no longer normed and also $\mathbb{A}_n$ has zero divisors (see [K-Y] and [Mo_1]).

Let us define $X_n^\infty = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n | F_n(x,y) = (0,0)\}$ and for r nonnegative real number $(x,y) \in X_n^r$ if and only if $xy = 0$ and $||x|| = ||y|| = r$. It is clear that for $r > 0$ and $s > 0$ real numbers. X_n^r is homeomorphic to X_n^s. Let us define $X_n := X_n^1$.

The set X_n show up in some important problems in algebraic topology: (1) Cohen's approach to the Arf invariant one problem. (See [C_1] and [C_2]). (2) Adem-Lam construction of normed and non-singular bilinear maps.(See [A] and [L]).

In this paper, we will show that for $n \geq 4$, X_n is related to some Stiefel manifolds; using the algebra structure in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ we will construct the chain of inclusions

$$X_n \subset W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} \subset V_{2^n-2,2} \subset V_{2^n-1,2}$$

(see § 2 below) where $V_{m,2}$ and $W_{m,2}$ denote the real and complex Stiefel manifolds of 2-frames in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ respectively.

In § 3 we show that we can attach to every element in $W_{2^{n-1},2}$ in a canonical way, an eight dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and that, only for the elements in X_n, such vector subspace is isomorphic, as algebra, to $\mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$ (the octonions).

In § 4 we describe X_n as a certain type of algebra monomorphisms from $\mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$ to $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 4$.

This paper is a sequel of [Mo1] and we use freely the results of [Sch],we acknowledge with gratitude the hard work made by the reviwer.

§1. Pure and doubly pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

Throughout this paper we use the following notational conventions:

(1) Elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$ will be denoted by Latin characters $a, b, c, \ldots, x, y, z$. and elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ will be denoted by Greek characters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$.

For example,

$$\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n.$$

(2) When we need to represent elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$ as elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ we use subscripts, for instance, $a = (a_1, a_2), \quad b = (b_1, b_2)$, and so on, with a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$.

Now $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{2^n-1}\}$ denotes the canonical basis in $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then by the doubling process

$$\{(e_0, 0), (e_1, 0), \dots, (e_{2^n-1}, 0), (0, e_0), \dots, (0, e_{2^n-1})\}$$

is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$. By standard abuse of notation, we also denote $e_0 = (e_0, 0), e_1 = (e_1, 0), \dots, e_{2^n-1} = (e_{2^n-1}, 0), e_{2^n} = (0, e_0), \dots, e_{2^{n+1}-1} = (0, e_{2^n-1})$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

For $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ we denote $\widetilde{\alpha} = (-b, a)$ (the complexification of α) so $\widetilde{e}_0 = (0, e_0)$ and $\alpha\widetilde{e}_0 = (a, b)(0, e_0) = (-b, a) = \widetilde{\alpha}$. Notice that $\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}} = -\alpha$.

The **trace** on $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ is the linear map $t_{n+1} : \mathbb{A}_{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $t_{n+1}(\alpha) = \alpha + \overline{\alpha} = 2(\text{real part of } \alpha)$ so $t_{n+1}(\alpha) = t_n(a)$ when $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n$.

Definition: $\alpha = (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ *is pure* if

$$t_{n+1}(\alpha) = t_n(a) = 0.$$

$\alpha = (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ *is doubly pure* if it is pure and also $t_n(b) = 0$; i.e., $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is pure in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

Also $2\langle a, b\rangle = t_n(a\overline{b})$ when $\langle -, -\rangle$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{2^n}$ (see [A]).

Note that for a and b pure elements $a \perp b$ if and only if $ab = -ba$.

Notation: ${}_o\mathbb{A}_n = \{e_o\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_n$ is the vector subspace consisting of pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$; i.e., ${}_o\mathbb{A}_n = \mathrm{Ker}(t_n) = \mathbb{R}^{2^n-1}$.

$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1} = {}_o\mathbb{A}_n \times {}_o\mathbb{A}_n = \{e_0, \widetilde{e}_0\}^\perp = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n+1}-2}$ is the vector subspace consisting of doubly pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

Lemma 1.1. For a and b in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}_n}$ we have that

1) $a\widetilde{e}_0 = \widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{e}_0 a = -\widetilde{a}$.

2) $a\widetilde{a} = -||a||^2\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{a}a = ||a||^2\widetilde{e}_0$ so $a \perp \widetilde{a}$.

3) $\widetilde{a}b = -\widetilde{ab}$ with a a pure element.

4) $a \perp b$ if and only if $\widetilde{a}b + \widetilde{ba} = 0$.

5) $\widetilde{a} \perp b$ if and only if $ab = \widetilde{b\widetilde{a}}$.

6) $a \perp b$ and $\widetilde{a} \perp b$ if and only if $\widetilde{a}b = a\widetilde{b}$.

Proof: Note that a is pure if and only if $\overline{a} = -a$ and if $a = (a_1, a_2)$ is doubly pure, then $\overline{a}_1 = -a_1$ and $\overline{a}_2 = -a_2$.

1) $\widetilde{e}_0 a = (0, e_0)(a_1, a_2) = (-\overline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1) = (a_2, -a_1) = -(-a_2, a_1) = -\widetilde{a}$.

2) $a\widetilde{a} = (a_1, a_2)(-a_2, a_1) = (-a_1a_2 + a_1a_2, a_1^2 + a_2^2) = (0, -||a||^2 e_0) = -||a||^2\widetilde{e}_0$.

   Similarly $\widetilde{a}a = (-a_2, a_1)(a_1, a_2) = (-a_2a_1 + a_2a_1, -a_2^2 - a_1^2) = ||a||^2\widetilde{e}_0$.

   Now, since $-2\langle\widetilde{a}, a\rangle = a\widetilde{a} + \widetilde{a}a = 0$ we have $a \perp \widetilde{a}$.

3) $\widetilde{a}b = (-a_2, a_1)(b_1, b_2) = (-a_2b_1 + b_2a_1, -b_2a_2 - a_1b_1)$.

   So $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}b} = (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2, b_2a_1 - a_2b_1) = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = ab$ *and then* $-\widetilde{a}b = \widetilde{ab}$.

   Notice that in this proof we only use that $\overline{a}_1 = -a_1$; i.e., a is pure and b doubly pure.

4) $a \perp b \Leftrightarrow ab + ba = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = -ba \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{ab} = -\widetilde{ba}$.

   $\Leftrightarrow -\widetilde{a}b = \widetilde{ba} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{a}b + \widetilde{ba} = 0$ by (3).

5) $\widetilde{a} \perp b \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}b + \widetilde{b\widetilde{a}} = 0$ (by (4)) $\Leftrightarrow -ab + \widetilde{b\widetilde{a}} = 0$.

6) If $\widetilde{a} \perp b$ and $a \perp b$, then by (3) and (4) $\widetilde{a}b = -\widetilde{ab} = \widetilde{ba} = -\widetilde{b}a = a\widetilde{b}$.

   Conversely, put $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ and define $c := (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2)$ and $d := (b_2a_1 - a_2b_1)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$.

   Then $a\widetilde{b} = (a_1, a_2)(-b_2, b_1) = (-a_1b_2 + b_1a_2, b_1a_1 + a_2b_2)$ so $a\widetilde{b} = (-\overline{d}, \overline{c})$.

Now $ab = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1 + b_2a_2, b_2a_1 - a_2b_1) = (c, d)$, so $\widetilde{ab} = (-d, c)$ and then $\widetilde{a}b = (d, -c)$.

Thus, if $\widetilde{ab} = \widetilde{a}b$ then $\overline{c} = -c$ and $d = -\overline{d}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(ab) &= t_{n-1}(c) = c + \overline{c} = 0 \text{ and } a \perp b \\ t_n(\widetilde{a}b) &= t_{n-1}(d) = d + \overline{d} = 0 \text{ and } \widetilde{a} \perp b. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D

Corollary 1.2 For each $a \neq 0$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ the four dimensional vector subspace generated by $\{e_0, \widetilde{a}, a, \widetilde{e}_0\}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ we denote it by $\mathbb{H}_a$.

Proof: We suppose that $||a|| = 1$, otherwise we take $\frac{a}{||a||}$. Construct the following multiplication table.

	e_0	$\widetilde{a}$	a	$\widetilde{e}_0$
e_0	e_0	$\widetilde{a}$	a	$\widetilde{e}_0$
$\widetilde{a}$	$\widetilde{a}$	$-e_0$	$+\widetilde{e}_0$	$-a$
a	a	$-\widetilde{e}_0$	$-e_0$	$\widetilde{a}$
$\widetilde{e}_0$	$\widetilde{e}_0$	a	$-\widetilde{a}$	$-e_0$

By lemma 2.1. $a\widetilde{e}_0 = \widetilde{a}$; $\widetilde{e}_0a = -\widetilde{a}$; $\widetilde{a}\widetilde{e}_0 = \widetilde{\widetilde{a}} = -a$; $\widetilde{e}_0\widetilde{a} = -\widetilde{\widetilde{a}} = a$; $a\widetilde{a} = -\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{a}a = \widetilde{e}_0$.

Identifying $e_0 \leftrightarrow e_0$, $\widetilde{a} \leftrightarrow e_1$, $a \leftrightarrow e_2$ and $\widetilde{e}_0 \leftrightarrow e_3$ we have the multiplication table for $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$

Q.E.D.

§2.- The Stiefel manifold $V_{2^n-1,2}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and a T^2-action.

Let $\langle a, b\rangle_n$ denote the standard inner product of a and b in $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{R}^{2^n}$. Now by [A] and [Mo$_1$]

$$2\langle a, b\rangle_n = (a\overline{b} + b\overline{a}) = t_n(a\overline{b}).$$

It is also well known that, for $\alpha = (a, b)$ and $\chi = (x, y)$ in $\mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ we have

$$\langle \alpha, \chi\rangle_{n+1} = \langle a, x\rangle_n + \langle \overline{b}, \overline{y}\rangle_n.$$

In particular, if α and χ are doubly pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ then y and b are pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$, therefore

$$\langle \alpha, \chi \rangle_{n+1} = \langle a, x \rangle_n + \langle b, y \rangle_n.$$

Lemma 2.1 For $\alpha = (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ define $\hat{\alpha} := (b, a)$.

For $\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}$ we have that

i) $\langle \alpha, \hat{\alpha} \rangle_{n+1} = 0$ i.e. $\alpha \perp \hat{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ if and only if $\langle a, b \rangle_n = 0$, i.e. $a \perp b$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

ii) $\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha} \rangle_{n+1} = 0$, i.e. $\widetilde{\alpha} \perp \hat{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ if and only if $||a|| = ||b||$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Proof:

i) $\langle \alpha, \hat{\alpha} \rangle_{n+1} = \langle (a, b), (b, a) \rangle_{n+1} = 2\langle a, b \rangle_n$.

ii) $\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha} \rangle_{n+1} = \langle (-b, a), (b, a) \rangle_{n+1} = -\langle b, b \rangle_n + \langle a, a \rangle_n = -||b||^2 + ||a||^2$.

Q.E.D.

By §1, we know that for each $\alpha \neq 0$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}$. $\mathbb{H}_\alpha = \text{Span}\ \{e_0, \widetilde{\alpha}, \alpha, \widetilde{e_0}\}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{A}_2$ and that if $\mathbb{H}_\alpha^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$, then $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{H}_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{H}_\alpha^\perp$.

Since α is doubly pure, $\hat{\alpha}$ is also doubly pure; i.e., $\hat{\alpha} \in \{e_0, \widetilde{e_0}\}^\perp$ and if $\hat{\alpha} \perp \alpha$ and $\hat{\alpha} \perp \widetilde{\alpha}$, then $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}_\alpha^\perp$. Now $S^{\sqrt{2}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}) = S^{2^{n+1}-3}$ denotes the sphere of radius $\sqrt{2}$ inside of $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}$.

Thus, we have a description of the real Stiefel manifold of 2- orthonormal frames in $\mathbb{R}^{2^n-1}$ as follows:

$$V_{2^n-1,2} = \{(a, b) \in {}_o\mathbb{A}_n \times {}_o\mathbb{A}_n = \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1} : ||a|| = ||b|| = 1, a \perp b\}$$

and

$$V_{2^n-1,2} = \{\alpha \in S^{\sqrt{2}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}) : \hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}_\alpha^\perp\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. If r and s are in $\mathbb{R}$ with $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ and $(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ then $(ra - sb, sa + rb) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$.

Proof: Suppose that $||a|| = ||b|| = 1$ and $a \perp b$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$. Then $||ra - sb||^2 = r^2||a||^2 + s^2||b||^2 - 2rs\langle a, b \rangle_n$ and $||sa + rb||^2 = s^2||a||^2 + r^2||b||^2 + 2rs\langle a, b \rangle_n$, so $||ra - sb||^2 = ||sa + rb||^2 = r^2 + s^2 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ra - sb, sa + rb \rangle_n &= rs\langle a, a \rangle_n - sr\langle b, b \rangle_n - s^2\langle b, a \rangle_n + r^2\langle a, b \rangle_n \\ &= rs||a||^2 - rs||b||^2 + 0 = rs - sr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary 2.3. $S^1 \times V_{2^n-1,2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{a}} V_{2^n-1,2}$ given by

$$((r,s),\alpha) \mapsto r\alpha + s\widetilde{\alpha} = (ra - sb, sa + rb)$$

defines a smooth, free S^1-action on $V_{2^n-1,2}$.

Proof: Clearly $(1,0)\cdot\alpha = \alpha$ and

$(r,s)[(q,t)\cdot\alpha] = ((r,s)(q,t))\cdot\alpha = (rq - st, rt + sq)\cdot\alpha$ so a defines an action. It is a smooth action because it is a restriction of a linear action of $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n+1}-2}$.

Finally, a is a free action: if $r\alpha + s\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ then $r = 1$ and $s = 0$, because $\alpha \perp \widetilde{\alpha}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}$.

Q.E.D.

Now, we identify $V_{2^n-2,2}$, the real Stiefel manifold of 2-orthonormal frames on $\mathbb{R}^{2^n-2}$, as a submanifold of $V_{2^n-1,2}$ as follows:

$$V_{2^n-2,2} = \{(a,b) \in V_{2^n-1,2} | (a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\};$$

i.e., $(a,b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ belongs to $V_{2^n-2,2}$ whenever a and b are doubly pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$ and we have the known fibration [Wh]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^{2^n-4} & \rightarrow & V_{2^n-2,2} & \rightarrow & S(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n) = S^{2^n-3} \\ & & (a,b) & \mapsto & b \end{array}$$

thus, $V_{2^n-2,2}$ has dimension $2^n - 3 + 2^n - 4 = 2^{n+1} - 7$.

Since $(ra - sb)$ and $(sa + rb)$ are doubly pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$ when a and b are doubly pure elements, we have that

$V_{2^n-2,2}$ **is a** S^1**-invariant submanifold of** $V_{2^n-1,2}$;

i.e., if $\alpha \in V_{2^n-2,2}$ then $(r,s)\cdot\alpha \in V_{2^n-2,2}$ for all $(r,s) \in S^1$.

We note that $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ becomes a complex vector space by defining $i\dot{\alpha}=\widetilde{\alpha}$ thus as a complex vector space

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1} = {}_0\mathbb{A}_n \times {}_0\mathbb{A}_n \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} {}_0\mathbb{A}_n$$

The isomorphism takes $1 \otimes x$ to $(x,0)$ and $i \otimes y$ to $(0,y)$ and S^1 (the set of modulo 1 complex numbers) acts naturally by multiplication on $\mathbb{C}$, hence on $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n+1}$.

Now, we identify the complex Stiefel manifold $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ of 2-orthonormal frames in $\mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}-1}$ as a submanifold of $V_{2^n-2,2}$ in terms of the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 3$.

It is known that for a, b and x in $\mathbb{A}_n$, $\langle ax, b\rangle_n = \langle a, b\overline{x}\rangle_n$.(See [A]). Thus if x is a pure element, i.e., $\overline{x} = -x$ then $\langle ax, b\rangle_n = -\langle a, bx\rangle_n$. That is, right multiplication by a pure non-zero element is a skew-symmetric linear map. In particular $\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n = -\langle a, \widetilde{b}\rangle_n$.

Proposition 2.4. For $n \geq 3$, the map $\mathcal{H}_n : \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}_n(a, b) = 2\langle a, b\rangle_n - 2i\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n$$

defines a Hermitian inner product in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$.

Proof: Clearly $\mathcal{H}_n$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{H}_n(a, b)} &= 2\langle a, b\rangle_n + 2i\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n \\ &= 2\langle a, b\rangle_n - 2i\langle a, \widetilde{b}\rangle_n \\ &= \mathcal{H}_n(b, a). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_n(\widetilde{a}, b) &= 2\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n - 2i\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}, b\rangle_n \\ &= 2\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n + 2i\langle a, b\rangle_n \\ &= 2i\langle a, b\rangle_n - 2i^2\langle \widetilde{a}, b\rangle_n \\ &= i\mathcal{H}_n(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.5. For $n \geq 3$

$$W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} = \{(a, b) \in V_{2^n-2,2} | b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}\}.$$

Proof: First of all, we observe that $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$ for a and b in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ if and only if $b \perp a$ and $b \perp \widetilde{a}$, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_n(a, b) = 0$. If $||a|| = ||b|| = 1$ and $\mathcal{H}_n(a, b) = 0$ then $(a, b) \in W_{m,2}$, where $m = \frac{1}{2}(2^n - 2) = 2^{n-1} - 1$.

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.6. $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ is S^1-invariant.

Proof: Suppose $(a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ with $||a|| = ||b|| = 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$. From this, we have $b \perp a$, and $\widetilde{b} \perp a$ (equivalently $\widetilde{a} \perp b$).

Now $r(a,b) + s(-b,a) = (ra - sb, rb + sa)$ and we know that $(ra - sb) \perp (rb + sa)$.

To finish, we need to show that $\widetilde{(ra - sb)} \perp (rb + sa)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widetilde{ra - sb}, rb + sa \rangle_n &= \langle r\widetilde{a} - s\widetilde{b}, rb + sa \rangle_n \\
&= r^2 \langle \widetilde{a}, b \rangle_n - s^2 \langle \widetilde{b}, a \rangle_n + rs \langle \widetilde{a}, a \rangle_n - rs \langle \widetilde{b}, a \rangle_n \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore $(ra - sb) \in \mathbb{H}_{rb+sa}$.

Q.E.D.

Note that we have a fibration

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S^{2^n-5} & \longrightarrow & W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n) = S^{2^n-3} \\
 & & (a,b) & \mapsto & b
\end{array}$$

$\pi^{-1}(b) = S(\mathbb{H}_b^{\perp}) = S^{2^n-5}$ since dim $\mathbb{H}_b^{\perp} = 2^n - 4$.

Thus dim $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} = 2^n - 5 + 2^n - 3 = 2^{n+1} - 8$.

In [Mo$_1$] it is shown that for a and b in $\mathbb{A}_n$ with $n \geq 4$ and $||a|| = ||b|| = 1$, then

if $ab = 0$ then

i) $(a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$.

ii) $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$ (or equivalently $a \in \mathbb{H}_b^{\perp}$).

Thus

$$X_n := \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n : ||a|| = ||b|| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ab = 0\}$$

is a subset of $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$.

Thus we have a chain of inclusions for $n \geq 3$,

$$X_n \subset W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} \subset V_{2^n-2,2} \subset V_{2^n-1,2}.$$

Now we show that X_n and $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ admit a $T := S^1 \times S^1$ action.

Lemma 2.7 For $(a,b) \in V_{2^n-2,2}$ and r, s, q, p in $\mathbb{R}$ with $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ and $p^2 + q^2 = 1$ define

$$(a,b) \overset{\tau}{\mapsto} (ra + s\tilde{a}, pb + q\tilde{b}).$$

i) If $(a,b) \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ then $(ra+s\tilde{a}, pb+q\tilde{b}) \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$.
ii) If $(a,b) \in X_n$ then $(ra+s\tilde{a}, pb+q\tilde{b}) \in X_n$.
iii) τ defines a free T-action on $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ and X_n respectively.

Proof: By direct calculations. If $a \perp b$ and $\tilde{a} \perp b$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ra+s\tilde{a}, pb+q\tilde{b}\rangle_n &= rp\langle a,b\rangle_n + sq\langle \tilde{a},\tilde{b}\rangle_n + rq\langle a,\tilde{b}\rangle_n + sp\langle \tilde{a},b\rangle_n \\ &= 0+0+0+0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ra+s\tilde{b}, (pb+q\tilde{b})\tilde{e_0}\rangle_n &= \langle ra+s\tilde{a}, p\tilde{b}-qb\rangle_n \\ &= rp\langle a,\tilde{b}\rangle_n + sp\langle \tilde{a},\tilde{b}\rangle_n - rq\langle a,b\rangle_n - sq\langle \tilde{a},b\rangle_n \\ &= 0+0+0+0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $ab=0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (ra+s\tilde{a})(pb+q\tilde{b}) &= rp(ab) + sq\tilde{a}\tilde{b} + sp\tilde{a}b + rqa\tilde{b} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} ||ra+s\tilde{a}||^2 &= r^2||a||^2 + s^2||\tilde{a}||^2 = (r^2+s^2)||a||^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \\ ||pb+q\tilde{b}||^2 &= p^2||b||^2 + q^2||\tilde{b}||^2 = (p^2+q^2)||b||^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we proved (i) and (ii).

Finally $(ra+s\tilde{a}, pb+q\tilde{b}) = (a,b)$ if and only if $r=1, s=0, p=1$ and $q=0$.

Clearly this action is smooth and free (see Corollary 2.5).

Q.E.D.

§ 3. X_n, Octonions and a S^3 action.

In this section, we show that we can attach to every element in X_n a copy of $\mathbb{A}_3 = \emptyset$, the octonions inside of $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ for $n > 3$.This allows us to identify

X_n with a subset of algebra monomorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_3$ into $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$, which is our main goal in § 4.

We recall some notation from § 1.

Let $e_0 \in \mathbb{A}_{n-1}$ be the unit so $(e_0, 0) = e_0$ is the unit in $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\widetilde{e}_0 = (0, e_0)$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

For $\widetilde{e}_0$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$ we denote $\varepsilon = (\widetilde{e}_0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

For example for $n = 4$, $\widetilde{e}_0 = e_8$ in $\mathbb{A}_4$, and $\varepsilon = (e_8, 0)$ in $\mathbb{A}_5$

In general $\widetilde{e}_0 = e_{2^n}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and $\varepsilon = e_{2^{n-1}}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$. Since ε is a doubly pure element of norm one, we have that $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon = \mathrm{Span}\{e_0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \widetilde{e}_0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{A}_2$ and a direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$.

By definition $\alpha = (a, b) \in \mathbb{A}_n \times \mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ is doubly pure in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ with doubly pure entries in $\mathbb{A}_n$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$.

In section § 2 we constructed the chain

$$X_n \subset W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} \subset V_{2^n-2,2} \subset V_{2^n-1,2} \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$$

for $n \geq 3$ with $X_3 = \Phi$, the empty set.

Therefore, by definition, $V_{2^n-2,2} = V_{2^n-1,2} \cap \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$.

Lemma 3.1. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ with $\alpha = (a, b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$,

1) $(\alpha\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ and $\alpha\varepsilon = (\widetilde{a}, -\widetilde{b})$.

2) $\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ and $\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon} = \widetilde{\alpha}\varepsilon = -\widetilde{\alpha\varepsilon} = (-\tilde{b}, -\tilde{a})$.

Proof: By direct calculation

$$\alpha\varepsilon = (a, b)(\widetilde{e}_0, 0) = (a\tilde{e}_0, -b\tilde{e}_0) = (\tilde{a}, -\tilde{b}) \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n = \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$$

and

$$\alpha\tilde{\varepsilon} = (a, b)(0, \widetilde{e}_0) = (\tilde{e}_0 b, \tilde{e}_0 a) = (-\tilde{b}, -\tilde{a})$$

by Lemma 1.1 (1).

Finally using Lemma 1.1 (6) and (3) respectively $\alpha\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon = -\widetilde{\alpha\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.2. For a non-zero α in $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and $n \geq 3$,

$$\emptyset_\alpha := Span\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \widetilde{e}_0, \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha, \alpha\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$$

is an 8-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^{2^{n+1}}$.

Proof: By definition $\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}_0\}$, $\{e_0, \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha, \tilde{e}_0\}$, $\{\varepsilon, \alpha, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\alpha}\}$ are an orthogonal set of vectors and $\alpha\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$. Also by Lemma 3.1. $\tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha = -\alpha\tilde{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\alpha}^{\perp}$. Thus $\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}_0, \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha, \alpha\}$ is an orthogonal set of vectors in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

Q.E.D.

Remark: In particular for $\alpha \in V_{2^n-2,2}$ we have that $\varnothing_\alpha \cong \mathbb{R}^8 \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and $\varnothing_\alpha \oplus \varnothing_\alpha^{\perp} = \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

Lemma 3.3. For $\alpha \in V_{2^n-2,2}$.
$\alpha \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ if and only if $\hat{\alpha} \in \varnothing_\alpha^{\perp}$.

Proof: Recall that by definition $\hat{\alpha} = (b, a)$ if $\alpha = (a, b)$ so
$\hat{\alpha} \in (\mathrm{Span}((\{e_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}_0, \alpha, \tilde{\alpha}\}))^{\perp}$ (see Lemma 2.1. above).
Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{\alpha}, \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha \rangle_{n+1} &= \langle (b, a), (\tilde{b}, \tilde{a}) \rangle_{n+1} = \langle b, \tilde{b} \rangle_n + \langle a, \tilde{a} \rangle_n = 0 \\
\langle \hat{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon \rangle_{n+1} &= \langle (b, a), (\tilde{a}, -\tilde{b}) \rangle_{n+1} = \langle b, \tilde{a} \rangle_n - \langle a, \tilde{b} \rangle_n = 2\langle b, \tilde{a} \rangle_n,
\end{aligned}$$

so $\hat{\alpha} \perp (\alpha\varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ if and only if $\tilde{a} \perp b$ in $\mathbb{A}_n$ i.e. $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$.
Therefore $\hat{\alpha} \in \varnothing_\alpha^{\perp}$ if and only if $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$.

Q.E.D.

Thus $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2} = \{\alpha \in V_{2^n-2,2} | \hat{\alpha} \in \varnothing_\alpha^{\perp}\}$.

Theorem 3.4 For $\alpha \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ and $n \geq 4$, the following statements are equivalent.
i) $\alpha \in X_n$
ii) α alternate with ε i.e., $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$
iii) The vector subspace of $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$

$$V(\alpha; \varepsilon) := \mathrm{Span}\{e_0, \alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha\varepsilon\}.$$

is multiplicatively closed and isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$.
iv) $\varnothing_\alpha$ is multiplicatively closed and isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_3 = \varnothing$.
v) $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Ker} L_\alpha \subset \varnothing_\alpha^{\perp}$, where L_α is left multiplication by α.

Proof. First of all, we calculate

$$\alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) = (a, b)[(a, b)(\tilde{e}, 0)] = (a, b)(\tilde{a}, -\tilde{b}) = (a\tilde{a} - \tilde{b}b, -\tilde{b}a - b\tilde{a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-||a||^2\tilde{e}_0 - ||b||^2\tilde{e}_0, -\tilde{b}a - \tilde{b}a) \text{ (by Lemma 1.1 (2) and (5))} \\ &= -||\alpha||^2\varepsilon + 2(0, \tilde{ba}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) = \alpha^2\varepsilon = -||\alpha||^2\varepsilon$ if and only if $ba = 0$, i.e. $\alpha \in X_n$ and we have (i)$\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

Clearly if $\alpha \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ then $\{e_0, \alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha\varepsilon\}$ form an orthonormal set of vectors so $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V(\alpha;\varepsilon)) = 4$ so $-||\alpha||^2 = \alpha^2 = (\alpha\varepsilon)^2$ and $\alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) = -||\alpha||^2\varepsilon$ if and only if $V(\alpha;\varepsilon) = \mathbb{H}$, and we prove (ii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iii).

To prove (iii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iv) we stablish the following correspondence between the canonical basis in $\mathbb{A}_3$ and the orthonormal basis of $\o_\alpha$.

$$e_1 \to \tilde{\varepsilon}; e_2 \mapsto \varepsilon; e_3 \to \tilde{e}_0; ||\alpha||e_4 \to \tilde{\alpha}; ||\alpha||e_5 \to \alpha\varepsilon; ||\alpha||e_6 \to \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha; ||\alpha||e_7 \to \alpha$$

Using ii) it is a routine calculation to see that this correspondence define an algebra isomorphism. (See also Lemma 4.4 (1) below).

Finally by Lemma 3.3. we know $\hat{\alpha} \in \o_\alpha^\perp$ and

$$\alpha\hat{\alpha} = (a,b)(b,a) = (ab + ab, a^2 - b^2) = (2ab, ||b||^2 - ||a||^2)$$

is the Hopf construction. So $\alpha\hat{\alpha} = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ if and only if $\alpha \in X_n$. Recall that $\mathbb{A}_3 \cong \o_\alpha$ does not admit zero divisors.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.5. $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ admits a left $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon$-module structure for $n \geq 3$.

Proof: For $\alpha = (a,b)$ in $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp = \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ and $u = re_0 + s\widetilde{\varepsilon} + q\varepsilon + p\widetilde{e}_0$ with r, s, q and p in $\mathbb{R}$.

Define

$$u \cdot \alpha = \alpha u = r\alpha + s\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon} + q\alpha\varepsilon + p\widetilde{\alpha}.$$

Trivially $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ and $(\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon})$ and $(\alpha\varepsilon)$ are in $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ by Lemma 3.1.(2) and (1) respectively.

Since $\widetilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{e}_0$ are alternative elements in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ (actually they belong to the canonical basis) we have that $\widetilde{\varepsilon} \cdot \alpha = (\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon})\widetilde{\varepsilon} = \alpha(\widetilde{\varepsilon})^2 = -||\alpha||^2 e_0 = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \cdot \alpha$ and similarly $\varepsilon \cdot (\varepsilon \cdot \alpha) = \varepsilon^2 \cdot \alpha$ and $\widetilde{e}_0 \cdot (\widetilde{e}_0 \cdot \alpha) = \widetilde{e}_0^2 \cdot \alpha$.

Now $\varepsilon \cdot (\widetilde{e}_0 \cdot \alpha) = \varepsilon \cdot (\alpha\widetilde{e}_0) = \varepsilon \cdot \widetilde{\alpha} = \widetilde{\alpha}\varepsilon$ and $(\varepsilon\widetilde{e}_0) \cdot \alpha = \widetilde{\varepsilon} \cdot \alpha = \alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon} = \widetilde{\alpha}\varepsilon$ by Lemma 3.1 (2).

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\varepsilon}\cdot(\widetilde{e}_0\cdot\alpha) &= (\widetilde{\varepsilon}\widetilde{e}_0)\cdot\alpha=\varepsilon\alpha\\
\widetilde{e}_0\cdot(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\cdot\alpha) &= (\widetilde{e}_0\widetilde{\varepsilon})\cdot\alpha=\alpha\varepsilon\\
\varepsilon\cdot(\widetilde{e}_0\cdot\alpha) &= (\varepsilon\widetilde{e}_0)\cdot\alpha=\widetilde{\alpha}\varepsilon\\
\widetilde{e}_0\cdot(\varepsilon\cdot\alpha) &= (\widetilde{e}_0\varepsilon)\cdot\alpha=-\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally $\widetilde{\varepsilon}\cdot(\varepsilon\cdot\alpha)=\widetilde{\varepsilon}\cdot(\alpha\varepsilon)=(\alpha\varepsilon)\widetilde{\varepsilon}=(\widetilde{\alpha\varepsilon})\varepsilon=-\widetilde{(\alpha\varepsilon)\varepsilon}=\widetilde{-\alpha}=(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\varepsilon)\cdot\alpha$ and $\varepsilon\cdot(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\cdot\alpha)=\varepsilon\cdot(\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon})=(\alpha\widetilde{\varepsilon})\varepsilon=(\widetilde{\alpha}\varepsilon)\varepsilon=\widetilde{\alpha}=\varepsilon\cdot(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha)$.

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.1. and we are done.

Q.E.D.

Now we define a S^3 action on X_n.

Consider the unit sphere inside of $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon\subset\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

$$S^3=S(\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon)=\{re_0+s\tilde{\varepsilon}+q\varepsilon+p\tilde{e}_0|r^2+s^2+q^2+p^2=1\}.$$

For $\alpha\in\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ with $\alpha=(a,b)\in\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ define $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp\times S^3\to\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(re_0+s\tilde{\varepsilon}+q\varepsilon+p\tilde{e}_0) &= r\alpha+s\alpha\tilde{\varepsilon}+q\alpha\varepsilon+p\alpha\tilde{e}_0=r\alpha+s\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon+q\alpha\varepsilon+p\tilde{\alpha}\\
&= r(a,b)+s(-\tilde{b},-\tilde{a})+q(\tilde{a},-\tilde{b})+p(-b,a)\\
&= (ra-s\tilde{b}+q\tilde{a}-pb,rb-s\tilde{a}-q\tilde{b}+pa).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition this is a group action which is smooth and free of fixed points.

Corollary 3.6 The above action of $S^3=S(\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon)$ on $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ is a group action which is smooth, orthogonal and free of fixed points.

Proof: By Theorem 3.5 this is a smooth group action because it is a restriction of a linear action. Since right multiplication by $\widetilde{e}_0,\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ are orthogonal linear transformations, we have that the action is orthogonal.

Finally this action is free of fixed points because $\{e_0,\widetilde{\varepsilon},\varepsilon,\varepsilon\}$ is an orthonormal basis, so $\alpha(re_0+s\widetilde{\varepsilon}+q\varepsilon+p\widetilde{e}_0)=\alpha$ if and only if $r=1,s=q=p=0$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.8.

i) The subsets X_n and $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ of $\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ are S^3-equivariant.

ii) For α and β in $W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$,

$\o_\alpha = \o_\beta$, as vector spaces, if and only if α and β lie in the same S^3-orbit.

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp$ with $\alpha = (a, b) \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ and r, s, q and p in $\mathbb{R}$ with $r^2 + s^2 + q^2 + p^2 = 1$ we have that

$$\alpha(re_0 + s\tilde{\varepsilon} + q\varepsilon + s\tilde{e}_0) = (ra - s\tilde{b} + q\tilde{a} - pb, rb - s\tilde{a} - q\tilde{b} + pa).$$

Suppose that $\alpha \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}$ then $\langle \tilde{a}, b\rangle_n = -\langle a, \tilde{b}\rangle_n = 0; \langle a, b\rangle_n = \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\rangle_n = 0$ and by definition $\langle a, \tilde{a}\rangle_n = \langle b, \tilde{b}\rangle_n = 0$ with $||a|| = ||\tilde{a}|| = ||\tilde{b}|| = ||b|| = 1$ so $\langle ra - s\tilde{b} + q\tilde{a} - pb, rb - s\tilde{a} - q\tilde{b} + pa\rangle = p||a||^2 + sq||b||^2 - qs||\tilde{a}||^2 - pr||b||^2 = 0$ and $(\alpha(re_0 + s\tilde{\varepsilon} + q\varepsilon + s\tilde{e}_0)) \in V_{2^n-2,2}$.

Similarly $\langle ra - s\tilde{b} + q\tilde{a} - pb, r\tilde{b} + sa + qb + p\tilde{a}\rangle = 0$ and

$$\alpha(re_0 + s\tilde{\varepsilon} + q\varepsilon + s\tilde{e}_0) \in W_{2^{n-1}-1,2}.$$

A direct calculation shows that if $ab = 0$ then

$$ab = \tilde{a}b = a\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}\tilde{b} = 0$$

$$(ra - s\tilde{b} + q\tilde{a} - pb)(rb - s\tilde{a} - q\tilde{b} + pa) =$$

$$-rsa\tilde{a} + rpa^2 - sr\tilde{b}b + sq\tilde{b}^2 - qs\tilde{a}^2 + qpaa - prb^2 + pqb\tilde{b} =$$

$$-rs(-||a||^2\tilde{e}_0 + ||b||^2\tilde{e}_0) + pq(-||a||^2\tilde{e}_0 + ||b||^2\tilde{e}_0) + rp(a^2 - b^2) + sq(\tilde{b}^2 - \tilde{a}^2) = 0$$

because $||a||^2 = ||b||^2 = 1$ and $a^2 = b^2 = -e_0$, so we have (i).

To prove ii) we notice that $\o_\alpha = \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \oplus \text{Span}\{\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha, \alpha\}$.Then if $\beta = r\alpha + s\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon + q\alpha\varepsilon + p\tilde{\alpha}$ (recall that $\tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon = -\tilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$ by Lemma 4.1) and $||\beta|| = 1$ then $r^2 + s^2 + q^2 + p^2 = 1$ and $\alpha \equiv \beta \bmod S^3$ if and only if $\o_\beta \subset \o_\alpha$ but

$$\dim \o_\beta = \dim \o_\alpha = 8 \text{ and } \o_\beta = \o_\alpha.$$

Q.E.D.

Remark: Notice that $T = S^1 \times S^1$, as in Lemma 2.7. and $S^3 = S(\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon)$ intersect on a copy of S^1.

Suppose that $r^2 + s^2 + p^2 + q^2 = 1$ and $u^2 + v^2 = 1 \quad t^2 + m^2 = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

If $(ra - s\tilde{b} + q\tilde{a} - pb, rb - s\tilde{a} - q\tilde{b} + pa) = (ua + v\tilde{a}, tb + m\tilde{b})$ then $r = u$,

$q = v, s = 0, p = 0, r = t, -q = m$ so

$$S(\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon) \cap T = S^1 = \{(r, -q) | r^2 + q^2 = 1\}.$$

.

§4. X_n and monomorphisms from $\mathbb{A}_3$ to $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$.

In this chapter $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Definition. An algebra monomorphism from $\mathbb{A}_m$ to $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a linear monomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_m \to \mathbb{A}_n$ such that

i) $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$ (the first e_0 is in $\mathbb{A}_m$ and the second e_0 in $\mathbb{A}_n$)

ii) $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all x and y in $\mathbb{A}_m$.

By definition we have that $\varphi(re_0) = r\varphi(e_0)$ for all r in $\mathbb{R}$ so $\varphi({}_0\mathbb{A}_m) \subset \varphi({}_0\mathbb{A}_n)$ and $\varphi(\overline{x}) = \overline{\varphi(x)}$ therefore $||\varphi(x)||^2 = \varphi(x)\overline{\varphi(x)} = \varphi(x)\varphi(\overline{x}) = \varphi(x\overline{x}) = \varphi(||x||^2) = ||x||^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{A}_m$ and $||\varphi(x)|| = ||x||$ and φ is an orthogonal linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^{2^m-1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2^n-1}$.

The *trivial* monomorphism is the one given by $\varphi(x) = (x, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for $x \in \mathbb{A}_m$ and 0 in $\mathbb{A}_m$

$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m; \mathbb{A}_n)$ denotes the set of algebra monomorphisms from $\mathbb{A}_m$ to $\mathbb{A}_n$.

For $m = n$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_m; \mathbb{A}_n) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ the group of algebra automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_n$

Proposition 4.1. $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_1; \mathbb{A}_n) = S({}_o\mathbb{A}_n) = S^{2^n-2}$.

Proof: $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C} = Span\{e_0, e_1\}$.

If $x \in \mathbb{A}_1$ then $x = re_0 + se_1$ and for $w \in_o \mathbb{A}_n$ with $||w|| = 1$ we have that $\varphi_w(x) = re_0 + sw$ define an algebra monomorphism from $\mathbb{A}_1$ to $\mathbb{A}_n$. This can be seen by direct calculations, recalling that, Center $(\mathbb{A}_n) = \mathbb{R}$ for all n and that every associator with one real entries vanish.

Conversely, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_1; \mathbb{A}_n)$, set $w = \varphi(e_1)$ so $||w|| = 1$ and $\varphi_w = \varphi$.

Q.E.D.

Remark: In particular, we have that

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_1) = S^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{\text{Identity, conjugation}\} = \{\varphi_{e_1}, \varphi_{-e_1}\}.$$

To calculate $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2;\mathbb{A}_n)$ for $n \geq 2$ we need to recall (see [Mo$_2$]).

Definition: For a and b in $\mathbb{A}_n$. We say that a *alternate with* b, we denote it by $a \rightsquigarrow b$, if $(a, a, b) = 0$.

We say that a *alternate strongly with* b, we denote it by $a \leftrightsquigarrow b$, if $(a, a, b) = 0$ and $(a, b, b) = 0$.

Clearly a alternate strongly with e_0 for all a in $\mathbb{A}_n$ and if a and b are linearly dependent then $a \leftrightsquigarrow b$ (by flexibility).

Also, by definition, a is an alternative element if and only if $a \rightsquigarrow x$ for all x in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

By Lemma 1.1 (1) and (2) we have that for any doubly pure element a in $\mathbb{A}_n$ $(a, a, \tilde{e}_0) = 0$ and (by the above remarks) $\tilde{e}_0$ alternate strongly with any a in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

For a and b pure elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$, we define the vector subspace of $\mathbb{A}_n$

$$V(a; b) = Span\{e_0, a, b, ab\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. If $(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and $a \leftrightsquigarrow b$ then $V(a; b) = \mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ the quaternions.

Proof: Suppose that $(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and that $(a, a, b) = 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle ab, a\rangle &= \langle b, \overline{a}a\rangle = \langle b, ||a||^2 e_0\rangle = ||a||^2\langle b, e_0\rangle = 0\\
\langle ab, a\rangle &= \langle a, b\overline{b}\rangle = \langle a, ||b||^2 e_0\rangle = ||b||^2\langle a, e_0\rangle = 0\\
||ab||^2 = \langle ab, ab\rangle &= \langle \overline{a}(ab), b\rangle = \langle -a(ab), b\rangle = \langle -a^2 b, b\rangle\\
&= -a^2\langle b, b\rangle = ||a||^2||b||^2 = 1
\end{aligned}$$

so $\{e_0, a, b, ab\}$ is an orthonormal set of vectors in $\mathbb{A}_n$.

Finally using also that $(a, b, b) = 0$ and $ab = -ba$ we may check by direct calculation that the multiplication table of $\{e_0, a, b, ab\}$ coincides with the one of the quaternions and by the identification $e_0 \mapsto e_0, a \mapsto e_1, b \mapsto e_2$ and $ab \mapsto e_3$ we have an algebra isomorphism between $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ and $V(a; b)$.

Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.3. $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2;\mathbb{A}_n) = \{(a, b) \in V_{2^n-1,2} | a \leftrightsquigarrow b\}$ for $n \geq 2$.

In particular

$$Aut(\mathbb{A}_2) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2;\mathbb{A}_2) = V_{3,2} = SO(3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_3) = V_{7,2}.$$

Proof. The inclusion "$\supset$" follows from Lemma 4.2. Conversly suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_n)$ then $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$, $(\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2)) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and $V(\varphi(e_1), \varphi(e_2)) = \mathrm{Im}\varphi = \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{A}_n$.

Since $\mathbb{A}_2$ is an asociative algebra and $\mathbb{A}_3$ is an alternative algebra we have that $a \leftrightsquigarrow b$ for any two elements in $\mathbb{A}_n$ for $n = 2$ or $n = 3$.

Q.E.D.

Remark. Recall that $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n = \{e_0, \tilde{e}_0\}^\perp = \mathbb{R}^{2^n-2}$ denotes the vector subspace of doubly pure elements. Since $a \leftrightsquigarrow \tilde{e}_0$ for any element in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$, we have that, if $a \in S(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n)$ i.e., $||a|| = 1$ then $(a, \tilde{e}_0) \in V_{2^n-1,2}$ and the assignment $a \mapsto (a, \tilde{e}_0)$ defines an inclusion from $S(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n) = S^{2^n-3} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_2; \mathbb{A}_n) \subset V_{2^n-1,2}$ which resembles "the bottom cell" inclusion in $V_{2^n-1,2}$.

Now we show that X_n can be identified with a subset of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_3; \mathbb{A}_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 4$.

Lemma 4.4. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ and $n \geq 4$.
(1) If $||\alpha|| = 1$ then $\o_\alpha = \mathrm{Span}\{e_0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon, \widetilde{e}_0, \widetilde{\alpha}, \alpha\varepsilon, \widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha, \alpha\}$ is isomorphic as algebra to $\mathbb{A}_3$ if and only if $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$.
(2) If $\alpha = (a, b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ then $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = (0, -(a, \widetilde{e}_0, b)) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$

Proof. (1) By definition $\widetilde{e}_0 = e_{2^n}$ and $\varepsilon = e_{2^{n-1}}$ are elements in the canonical basis so they are alternative elements (See [Sch]). Since $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$ is associative for all α then $(\alpha, \alpha, \widetilde{e}_0) = 0$.

Clearly if $\o_\alpha \cong \mathbb{A}_3$ then $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) =$ because $\o_\alpha$ is an alternative algebra.

Conversely, assume that $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$.

We have the following multiplication table that under the mapping
$e_0 \mapsto e_0; e_1 \mapsto \widetilde{\varepsilon}; e_2 \mapsto \varepsilon; e_3 \mapsto \widetilde{e}_0; e_4 \mapsto \widetilde{\alpha}; e_5 \mapsto \alpha\varepsilon; e_0 \mapsto \widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$ and $e_7 \mapsto \alpha$

becomes an algebra monomorphism from $\mathbb{A}_3$ into $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$

e_0	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	ε	$\widetilde{e}_0$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$\alpha\varepsilon$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	α
$\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	$-e_0$	$\widetilde{e}_0$	$-\varepsilon$	$\alpha\varepsilon$	$-\widetilde{\alpha}$	$-\alpha$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$
ε	$-\widetilde{e}_0$	$-e_0$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	α	$-\widetilde{\alpha}$	$-\alpha\varepsilon$
$\widetilde{e}_0$	ε	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	$-e_0$	α	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	$+\alpha\varepsilon$	$-\widetilde{\alpha}$
$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$-\alpha\varepsilon$	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	$-\alpha$	$-e_0$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	ε	$-\widetilde{e}_0$
$\alpha\varepsilon$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$-\alpha$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	$-e_0$	$-\widetilde{e}_0$	ε
$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	α	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$-\alpha\varepsilon$	$-\varepsilon$	$\widetilde{e}_0$	$-e_0$	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}$
α	$-\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha$	$\alpha\varepsilon$	$\widetilde{\alpha}$	$\widetilde{e}_0$	$-\varepsilon$	$\widetilde{\varepsilon}$	$-e_0$

Notice that this table is skew-symmetric with $-e_0$'s along the diagonal.

The nontrivial calculations are:

$\widetilde{\varepsilon}\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha\varepsilon$ (by Lemma 1.1 (5)).

$\widetilde{\varepsilon}(\alpha\varepsilon) = -\widetilde{\varepsilon\alpha)} = \varepsilon\widetilde{(\varepsilon\alpha)} = \widetilde{\varepsilon^2\alpha} = -\widetilde{e_0\alpha} = -\widetilde{\alpha}$ (by Lemma 1.1 (3)).

$\varepsilon(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha) = -\varepsilon\widetilde{(\varepsilon\alpha)} = -\widetilde{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon\alpha) = \varepsilon\widetilde{(\varepsilon\alpha)} = -\widetilde{\alpha}$ (by Lemma 1.1 (1) and (6).

$\widetilde{\alpha}(\alpha\varepsilon) = -\alpha\widetilde{(\alpha\varepsilon)} = -\widetilde{\alpha^2\varepsilon} = \widetilde{\varepsilon}$ because $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$ and $||\alpha|| = 1$.

$\widetilde{\alpha}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}\alpha) = -\widetilde{\alpha}\widetilde{(\varepsilon\alpha)} = +\widetilde{\widetilde{\alpha}}(\alpha\varepsilon) = -\alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$,

so we are done with (1).

To prove (2) we perform similar calculation as in Theorem 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) &= (a,b)[(a,b)(\widetilde{e}_0,0)] = (a,b)(\widetilde{a},-\widetilde{b}) = (a\widetilde{a}-\widetilde{b}b, -\widetilde{b}a - b\widetilde{a}) \\
&= (-||a||^2\widetilde{e}_0 - ||b||^2 e_0, -(b\widetilde{e}_0)a + b(\widetilde{e}_0 a)) \\
&= -||\alpha||^2\varepsilon - (0,(b,\widetilde{e}_0,a))
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha,\alpha,\varepsilon) &= \alpha^2\varepsilon - \alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) = -||\alpha||^2 - \alpha(\alpha\varepsilon) \\
&= (0,(b,\widetilde{e}_0,a)) = -(0,(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b)) \quad \text{by flexibility.}
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Notation: For $n \geq 4$ consider the following subsets of $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_n &= \{\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon^\perp | (\alpha,\alpha,\varepsilon) = 0\}, \\
S(\mathbb{E}_n) &= \{\alpha \in \mathbb{E}_n : ||\alpha|| = 1\}, \\
P(n) &= \{(a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n | a \,\text{and}\, b \,\text{are}\, \mathbb{C}-\text{collinear}\}, \\
\overline{X}_n &= \{(a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n | a \neq 0, b \neq 0 \,\text{and}\, ab = 0\,\}
\end{aligned}$$

and also the following subset of monomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{A}_3;\mathbb{A}_{n+1}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{A}_3;\mathbb{A}_{n+1}) | \mathbb{H}_\varepsilon \subset \mathrm{Im}\varphi\}.$$

Remark: By Lemma 4.4 (1) we may identify $S(\mathbb{E}_n)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{A}_3;\mathbb{A}_{n+1})$, that is, there is a one to one correspondence between this two sets.

Theorem 4.5. For $n \geq 4$

(i) $P(n)$ and $\overline{X}_n$ are subsets of $\mathbb{E}_n$ with $P(n) \cap \overline{X}_n = \Phi$.

(ii) There is a continous retract

$$R : \mathbb{E}_n \backslash P(n) \to \overline{X}_n.$$

Proof: If $(a,b) \in P(n)$ then $b \in \mathbb{H}_a$ or $a \in \mathbb{H}_b$ and $(a, \widetilde{e}_0, b) = 0$ (recall that $\mathbb{H}_a$ and $\mathbb{H}_b$ are associative), so by Lemma 4.4 (2) $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ when $\alpha = (a,b)$ so $P(n) \subset \mathbb{E}_n$.

On the other hand if $(a,b) \in \overline{X}_n$ then $ab = 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{H}_a^\perp \subset \mathbb{A}_n$ and by Lemma 1.1 (1), (6) and (3)

$$(a, \widetilde{e}_0, b) = (a\widetilde{e}_0)b - a(\widetilde{e}_0 b) = \widetilde{a}b + a\widetilde{b} = \widetilde{a}b + \widetilde{a}b = 2\widetilde{a}b = -2a\widetilde{b}.$$

Therefore if $ab = 0$ then $(a, \widetilde{e}_0, b) = 0$ and $(\alpha, \alpha, \varepsilon) = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ for $\alpha = (a,b)$ by Lemma 4.4 (2) so $\overline{X}_n \subset \mathbb{E}_n$.

Now if $(a,b) \in P(n) \cap \overline{X}_n$ then $b \in \mathbb{H}_a$ and $ab = 0$, but $\mathbb{H}_a$ is associative and $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ which is a contradiction, therefore $P(n) \cap \overline{X}_n$ is the empty set and we are done with (i).

To prove (ii) suppose that $\alpha = (a,b) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n \times \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ with $a \neq 0$. Since

$$\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{H}_a \oplus \mathbb{H}_a^\perp$$

then there are unique elements c and d in $\mathbb{H}_a$ and $\mathbb{H}_a^\perp$ respectively such that $b = c + d$. Now

$$(a, \widetilde{e}_0, b) = (a, \widetilde{e}_0, c + d) = (a, \widetilde{e}_0, c) + (a, \widetilde{e}_0, d) = 0 + (a, \widetilde{e}_0, d)$$

because $\mathbb{H}_a$ is associative.

But by Lemma 1.1 (1), (6) and (3)

$$(a,\widetilde{e}_0,d)=(a\widetilde{e}_0)d-a(\widetilde{e}_0d)=\widetilde{a}d+a\widetilde{d}=\widetilde{ad}+\widetilde{a}d=-2\widetilde{ad}.$$

Therefore $(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b)=-2\widetilde{ad}$.

Suppose that $\alpha=(a,b)$ is in $\mathbb{E}_n\backslash P(n)$ then $a\neq 0$,$b\neq 0$,

$$b=c+d\in\mathbb{H}_a\oplus\mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}$$

with $d\neq 0$ and $(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b)=0$ by Lemma 4.6 (2).Thus we have that $ad=0$.

Let us define $R:\mathbb{E}_n\backslash P(n)\to\overline{X}_n$ as $R(a,b)=(a,d)$.

Then $R(a,b)=(a,b)$ if $(a,b)\in\overline{X}_n$ and R is continuous, because it is the restriction of the projection map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\mathbb{A}_n & \to & \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times(\mathbb{H}_a\oplus\mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}) & \to & \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\mathbb{H}_a^{\perp}\\ (a,b) & \to & (a,c+d) & \to & (a,d)\end{array}$$

which is obviously continuous.

Q.E.D.

Remarks: (1) Recall that $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ is a complex vector space by making $ia=\widetilde{a}$.

By definition $(a,b)\in P(n)$ if and only if a and b are $\mathbb{C}$-collinear for $(a,b)\in\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$. So

$$P(n)\cong(((\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\backslash\{0\})\times\mathbb{C})\cup\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n).$$

(2) Consider the map $w_n:\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\to\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ given by

$$w_n(a,b)=(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b).$$

Since every associator is a pure element $(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b)\perp\widetilde{e}_0$ because $(a,\widetilde{-},b)$ is a skew-symmetric linear transformation (see [Mo_1]) then $(a,\widetilde{e}_0,b)\in\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$.

Now w_n is a polynomia map, actually is a quadraic map, and $\mathbb{E}_n=w_n^{-1}(0)$ is a real algebraic set in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n\times\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n=\mathbb{H}_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}=\mathbb{R}^{2^{n+1}-4}$ with 0 in $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_n$ a singular value, by Lemma 4.4 (2).

(3) X_n is a contraction of $\overline{X_n}$ via normalization on each coordinate.

References

[A] J. Adem. Construction of some normed maps. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, (2)**20** 1975, 59-79.

[C_1] F. Cohen. A course in some aspects of classical homotopy theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1139, 1989, Springer-Verlag, p. 11-120.

[C_2] F. Cohen. On Whitehead Squares, Cayley-Dickson algebras and rational functions. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana. (2)**37** 1992, 55-62.

[D] L.E. Dickson. On quaternions and their generalization and the history of the 8 square theorem. Annals of Math; **20** 155-171, 1919.

[E-K] Eakin-Sathaye. On automorphisms and derivations of Cayley-Dickson algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **129**, 263-280, 1990.

[L] K. Lam. Construction of Non-singular bilinear maps. Topology 6. 1967, 423-426.

[H-Y] S.H. Khalil and P. Yiu. The Cayley-Dickson algebras: A theorem of Hurwitz and quaternions. Bol. Soc. Sci. Lett. Lódz Vol. XLVIII 117-169. 1997.

[Mo_1] G. Moreno. The zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson algebras over the real numbers. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2)**4** 13-27. 1998

[Mo_2] G. Moreno. Alternative elements in the Cayley-Dickson algebras. hopf.math.purdue.edu/pub/Moreno.2001

[Sch] R.D. Schafer. On the algebras formed by the Cayley-Dickson process. Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954) 435-445.

[Wh] G. Whitehead. Elements of Homotopy theory. Graduate Texts in Math. 61 Springer-Verlag.

Departamento de Matemáticas.
CINVESTAV DEL IPN.
Apartado Postal 14-740.
México D.F.
MEXICO.
gmoreno@math.cinvestav.mx